The Diabetes Controversy

By Thea Brolund and Anders Tychsen

Diabetes ranks as one of the most controversial medical conditions affecting divers and has been the cause of heated debates worldwide for more than two decades. Traditionally, insulin-dependent diabetics have not been allowed to dive; however, the evidence supporting diabetic divers has increased dramatically in the last decade. The time appears ripe for a change in mentality.

In the mid-1970’s there was a diving accident in the UK. The diver in question developed a sudden onset of decompressions illness and died. It was discovered that the diver suffered from diabetes, a chronic condition where the ability of the body to produce insulin is either diminished or lost entirely. As insulin is the hormone that allows the body to control blood sugar levels, and the treatment varies on an individual basis, diabetes can be a life threatening illness, if not treated properly. While in the concrete case there was no evidence that the divers diabetic condition had contributed to his demise, an international and complete ban on diving diabetics was imposed, with the exception of those diabetics who could control their condition by diet alone.

In the early 1990’s, the case was re-examined, and a review of the post-mortem results showed that the diver had a patent foramen ovale, or a hole in the heart between the right and left atria. Whether this, his diabetic condition or a third factor caused the diving accident, remains uncertain. However, by that time one of the most heated discussions in diving and hyperbaric (diving) medicine to emerge had already been running for 20 years.

The problem associated with diabetes and diving is serious, because diabetes is a globally occurring condition that appears to be more and more common. According to the WHO, at least 170 million people worldwide have diabetes, and the figure is likely to double by 2030. As more and more people get diabetes, the numbers of diabetics who want to experience diving will increase.

Diabetes

The human body uses sugar (glucose) as fuel, which is derived directly from what we eat and drink. The hormone insulin, present in the bloodstream, is necessary for the cells to metabolize sugar. Insulin is normally produced by the pancreas; however, in diabetics, the ability of the pancreas to produce insulin is lowered or gone completely. Alternatively, the cells of the body can have a resistance to the entry of insulin. In both cases the result is the same: Glucose levels build in the blood and can reach dangerous levels, which can result in cells being starved of energy. Over time, diabetics can develop damage to their eyes, kidney, heart or peripheral nerves, if they are not well-regulated.

There are several different degrees of diabetes, and the illness varies from person to person. About 90% of all diabetics have what is commonly called Type II diabetes, and can control their blood sugar levels by diet and oral medicine. Typically, the pancreas of Type II diabetics still produces insulin, but at a lower rate. The remaining 10% are called Type I diabetics. In this group, the pancreas has completely stopped producing insulin, which means that the Type I diabetics need to get their insulin via injections of the hormone.

The risk of diving with diabetes

When diving, the body is usually quite active, depending on the conditions in question, and therefore uses sugar. Most divers, especially those diving in cold waters, will be familiar with the solid appearance of the solid appetite a morning of diving usually builds.

For diabetics, the use of sugar during diving can constitute a problem if they for some reason start their dive with too low a level of blood sugar. This can happen if the diver has taken too much insulin before the dive, has been drinking alcohol or lacks exercise, has eaten too little or improperly. In these cases, diabetic divers run the risk of their blood glucose level falling to a level where hypoglycemia, or low blood sugar, is
precipitated. A hypoglycemia episode can, dependent on the severity, cause everything from mild headaches to weakness, tremor, sweatiness or chilling, irritability, alterations or loss of consciousness to convulsions. Needless to say, the extreme end of these effects is highly dangerous in a diving situation.

A convoluted problem

The risk of developing hypoglycemia has been the primary factor in the medical establishment having traditionally banned Type I diabetics from diving. Not only does a severe hypoglycemia episode endanger the diabetic, it can potentially endanger their dive buddies as well.

However, during the early 1990’s it was gradually realized that hypoglycemia while underwater or on the surface appeared to be much less common than what was popularly believed. Furthermore, it was realized that the Diabetic Network (DAN), an international diving safety organization, reported that out of 550 dive-related fatalities occurring from 1989 to 1994, seven had diabetes. However, whether their condition contributed to their cause of death was not clear. Furthermore, eight of 2,400 episodes of decompression illness involved diabetic divers. Both numbers were in line with the expected numbers in the general population, and therefore the statistics failed to show an increasing risk for diabetic divers. However, whether their condition contributed to their cause of death was not clear. Furthermore, eight of 2,400 episodes of decompression illness involved diabetic divers. Both numbers were in line with the expected numbers in the general population, and therefore the statistics failed to show an increasing risk for diabetic divers.

Early investigations

In 1992, the British Sub-Aqua Club (BSAC) decided to readmit Type I and other diabetics into the club, providing that they fulfill a set of strict medical criteria, including excellent control of their blood sugar levels through insulin injections. At the same time, data from diabetic divers started being collected in the UK.

In 1996, the Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society (UHMS) chaired a workshop focused on discussing the issue of diabetic divers. Researchers debated the evidence at hand and discussed the possibility of loosening the ban on divers with insulin-dependent diabetes. At the UHMS meeting, Divers Alert Network (DAN), an international diving safety organization, reported that out of 550 dive-related fatalities occurring from 1989 to 1994, seven had diabetes. However, whether their condition contributed to their cause of death was not clear. Furthermore, eight of 2,400 episodes of decompression illness involved diabetic divers. Both numbers were in line with the expected numbers in the general population, and therefore the statistics failed to show an increasing risk for diabetic divers. However, whether their condition contributed to their cause of death was not clear. Furthermore, eight of 2,400 episodes of decompression illness involving diabetic divers. Both numbers were in line with the expected numbers in the general population, and therefore the statistics failed to show an increasing risk for diabetic divers. However, whether their condition contributed to their cause of death was not clear. Furthermore, eight of 2,400 episodes of decompression illness involving diabetic divers. Both numbers were in line with the expected numbers in the general population, and therefore the statistics failed to show an increasing risk for diabetic divers. However, whether their condition contributed to their cause of death was not clear. Furthermore, eight of 2,400 episodes of decompression illness involving diabetic divers. Both numbers were in line with the expected numbers in the general population, and therefore the statistics failed to show an increasing risk for diabetic divers. However, whether their condition contributed to their cause of death was not clear. Furthermore, eight of 2,400 episodes of decompression illness involving diabetic divers. Both numbers were in line with the expected numbers in the general population, and therefore the statistics failed to show an increasing risk for diabetic divers.
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In parallel with the new evidence on diabetes and diving, the medical industries had developed more effective insulin types and delivery systems. Including fast and slow-acting insulin types. While Type I diabetics in the 1970’s used measurements of the sugar level in their urine to calculate how much insulin they should take, modern equipment allows measurement of blood sugar directly, in as little as 30 seconds. This has facilitated unprecedented levels of control of blood sugar levels.

The ban is loosened
With the evidence slowly mounting in favor of the diabetic divers, several countries began lifting the ban on diabetic diving, including the UK, USA, Sweden and Egypt. Other countries began to inquire about the standards utilized by these countries, including Australia, Holland and Denmark. In the countries that lifted the ban on diabetic diving around this time, medical guidelines similar to those used in the UK were enforced, which ensured that only fit, well-regulated Type I diabetics would gain dive clearance. Furthermore, standards and guides were developed to assist the diabetic, outlining e.g. how to ensure stable blood sugar levels before, during and after each dive. In general, these guidelines were developed in collaboration with the diabetic divers and based in part on their experiences and solutions; e.g. DAN, UHMS and BSAC all have protocols for approving diabetic divers. As of 2000, most countries still enforced the ban, however.

New evidence
From 1997 through 1999, DAN took to the field again, collecting data from more than 500 dives by insulin-requiring divers and a similar amount of control dives by divers without diabetes. There were no adverse effects due to diving on the part of diabetics—even with 18 hypoglycemic episodes outside of the diving. In 2001, a group of UK-based doctors published the experiences with diabetic divers in the UK since the lift of the ban. Due to an excellent collaboration between divers and their physicians, the UK had amassed a substantial body of evidence on the subject, including data from 323 diabetic divers performing 6,760 divers over 11 years. In that time span, two fatalities were recorded, both in non-insulin dependent divers, and only one incident of hypoglycemia underwater in an insulin-dependent diabetic was reported. The study showed that in the group of well-controlled diabetic divers, there were no serious problems due to hypoglycemia when they dived; however, the study concluded that diabetics suffering long-term complications of their conditions, such as heart problems, should not dive. More evidence accrued, and was presented at the 2005 DAN workshop on diabetes and recreational diving. The workshop resulted in the publication of a set of standardized guidelines for allowing diabetics who use medication, to dive. The guidelines consist of 19 points, and include e.g. the requirements about the age and fitness of the diabetic, with one of the primary requirements being no hypogly-
The problem continues

To the continued frustration of diabetics, the absolute ban on divers with insulin requiring diabetes remains under review and country specific, although more and more countries are lifting the ban. Strangely, the problem appears to be not so much related to the question of whether Type I diabetics are at increased risk when diving or not, but rather a question of responsibility. Extreme sports, like diving and rock climbing, carry with them an inherent risk for the practitioner and those he or she climbs or dives with. However, traditionally most of these sports have not required any kind of medical clearance—i.e., there is none to prevent people from rock climbing, irrespective of any health problems. This may in part have something to do with the fact that the dangers associated with rock climbing are obvious and under the domain of common sense. The dangers associated with diving—nitrogen pressure, ear squeezes and similar however, need to be taught. Despite the obvious parallel between diving and other extreme sports, diving has always been associated with medical clearances given by doctors. This means that divers have fallen under a “paternalistic medicine” that tells them what they can and cannot do. Needless to say, diabetics, already plagued in this regard, have rebelled against this.

It is however too easy to blame the doctors, for the problem is complex, and related to the phrase “fitness to dive” which is what a medical practitioner must agree to when clearing a diver for diving. In some countries, the medical clearance of “fitness to dive” has achieved legal standing, and this means that the medical practitioner takes a very real risk of getting blamed in case something goes wrong with the cleared diver. On the other hand, the responsibility of declaring a person fit to dive can be delegated to the dive instructor. In both cases, the involved people develop a natural fear of accepting the risk. The problem with the situations is that the diabetic who actually wants to dive cannot take the risk upon himself—which is what a rock climber can do. With diabetic divers willing to take the risk of diving upon themselves, and practitioners of other extreme sports not having this problem, it is a valid point to ask by the divers, instructors and practitioners alike why so many countries still prevent diabetics from diving.

Information on diabetes and diving

If you would like to know more about diabetes and diving, or if you are a diabetic who would like to know a bit more about how to avoid hypoglycaemia while diving, the following links will provide basic information.

**Safe Scuba Diving With Diabetes**

— by Stephen Prosterman, of the Camp DAVI project

[www.diabetesellsemanagement.com/article.cfm?SKS=5W22851D=9SSS= nAIID=1017&page=1](http://www.diabetesellsemanagement.com/article.cfm?SKS=5W22851D=9SSS=nAIID=1017&page=1)

**DAN/UHMS guidelines**


**The YMCA diabetic protocol**


**BSAC Guidelines**


---

**Scientific evidence points to the fact that the ban on diabetic diving was erroneously imposed**
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Bryostatin-1 is a promising anti-cancer drug that might also be effective against Alzheimers. It is made from a widely-distributed marine invertebrate, Bugula neritina, found worldwide in temperate waters and whose stringy brown colonies are sometimes mistaken for algae, often fouls boats’ hulls. However, the drug only exists in small number of the organisms and have proven hard to harvest. But scientists at Sunderland University in the UK discovered that the bugula is not found in the animal, but also in the sediment around it on the sea floor of the Gulf of Mexico. Now the researchers are attempting to create a synthetic version of the drug, and believe it could also be discovered on their own doorstep—in the North Sea.

Dr Lyn Noble, from Sunderland, said: “It is difficult to mass-produce the drug, but if we do manage to find a way to do that cheaply, the lives of literally millions of cancer and Alzheimer’s sufferers worldwide could be changed.”

It takes 14 tonnes of bugula to extract an ounce of pure bryostatin. Creating a synthetic form of bryostatin is a complex procedure, which takes 65 reaction steps as compared to the five to ten processes that are normally economically viable. Synthetic bryostatin costs £261 per 50 micrograms or £5.2 million per gram.

Research in the U.S., including a £4 million sea farm to harvest bryostatin in the lab and even harvesting it from the North Sea.

**Scientists Isolate Anti-Malaria Compounds From Mussel**

Indian scientists have isolated two compounds from mussels that have been found to have anti-malaria... activity. Malaria kills more people than any other communicable diseases except tuberculosis. The molecules, named NIO-1 and NIO-2, have been discovered by the scientists at the National Institute of Oceanography. Studies have shown that the two compounds act by directly killing the malaria parasite, *Plasmodium falciparum*, rather than just causing inhibition of their growth. Importantly, the compounds were found to be non-toxic to human cells. The compounds, already patented, are cheap to obtain and can be prepared in bulk without killing the mussel.