A view from Rebreather Forum 3

Rebreather Safety

How can rebreather diving be made safer? That was the question at the core of the numerous presentations and discussions at Rebreather Forum 3 (RF3) held in Orlando, Florida, this May. Powered by the American Academy of Underwater Scientists (AAUS), PADI Inc., and Diver's Alert Network, the international conclave brought together just under 400 industry-insiders from the sport diving communities, scientific, media, military and various international government agencies diving communities along with other training agencies,

manufacturers, instructor/trainers and divers who came to talk rebreathers, learn, share experiences, network, ogle the latest gear and

hopefully help steer the community forward.

Text by Michael Menduno Photos by Peter Symes (EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE CREDITED)

The last forum, Rebreather Forum 2.0, which I organized with rebreather builder Tracy Robinette, was held 16 years earlier in 1996, at a time when rebreathers were just being introduced to the sport diving market. In his opening remarks, PADI CEO, Drew Richardson, proposed that the number one goal of RF3 was contributing to rebreather diving safety and reducing inci-



Some controversy

The issue is of critical importance today when manufacturers like Poseidon Diving Systems Ltd. and Hollis Inc., in conjunction with PADI and other training agencies, are now actively promoting rebreathers

for use by divers, source

recreational which is a of some troversy. Until recently, rebreather use was limited primarily to tech divers because of their complexity, operational requirements and cost. The concern is that rebreathers may be too complex and time consuming for a typical open water diver who is still mastering their basic diving skills.

A matter of protocol However, PADI has devel-

oped a simplified diving protocol using rebreathers designed specifically for recreational use, which it believes will prove efficacious.

Though no one knows the actual risks, there have been more than 200 reported rebreather fatalities worldwide since 1998, which have averaged

approximately ten fatalities per year prior to 2005 and about 20 per year since. To put

these numbers in perspective, on average there are about 100-120 scuba diving fatalities annually in the United States, Canada, United Kingdom and Europe combined,





SIT I DIE



380 delegates from 26 different countries attended RF3.

oxygen rebreathers before them, the technology was primarily limited to military divers until the late 1980s when pioneers like Dr Bill Stone, Olivier Isler, Stuart Clough and Rob Palmer began experimenting with rebreathers for cave exploration, just as technical divina was emerging.

Though the early tech community immediately seized upon their potential for extending bottom times and optimizing decompression, it took until the late 1990s

> for the first production units like the Cis-Lunar Mk-IV, **Ambient** Pressure Diving's Inspiration and the KISS Classic to become available.

Fastest growing segment Today, rebreather

entific investigation by continuing Galileo's custom of testing hypotheses against observation. Trained in mathematics, Borelli also made extensive studies of Jupiter's moons, the mechanics of animal locomotion and, in microscopy, of the constituents of blood. He also used microscopy to investigate the stomatal movement of plants, and undertook studies in medicine and geology.

Borelli is also considered to be the first man to consider a selfcontained underwater breathing apparatus along with his early submarine design. The exhaled gas was cooled by sea water after passing through copper tubing. The helmet was brass with a glass window and 0.6 m (2 ft) in diameter. The apparatus was never likely to be used or tested.

active rebreather divers world-

wide, and there are more than a

- FXCFRPTS FROM WIKIPFDIA

which represents the majority of the worldwide market. Given that there are millions of open-circuit divers compared to, at most, tens of thousands of rebreather divers, the fatality rate for rebreather diving is evidently much higher than its open-circuit counterpart, as industry-insiders are all too well aware.

Unacceptable record

During one of the opening sessions, Dr Andrew Fock, head of hyperbaric medicine at The Albert Hospital in Melbourne, Australia,

How can rebreather diving be made safer? asked for a show of hands from the audience: "How many people in this room believe that the current rebreather safety record is acceptable?"

No one raised a hand.

Concieved centuries ago

First conceived in the 17th century by Giovanni Borelli, closed-circuit rebreathers (CCR) remained an elusive invention until the advent of galvanic oxygen sensors in the early 1960s made their construction possible. Like simple, non-electronic

> Associate Professor Simon Mitchell gives a presenphysiology

ing represents one of the fastest growing areas of sport diving. Poseidon reported at the Forum that they sold more of their recreational Mk-VI rebreathers in the last four and half months than in the prior two and half years, and PADI is certifying new recreational rebreather instructors to meet the demand. In certain countries, such as the United Kingdom, which is regarded as rebreather "around zero", it's considered "normal" that everyone on a dive boat is diving a rebreather.

Giovanni Alfonso Borelli

(28 January 1608, Naples - 31

Renaissance Italian physiolo-

December 1679, Rome) was a

aist, biomechanist, physicist, and

mathematician. He contributed

to the modern principle of sci-

dozen rebreather manufacturers. At one of the forum sessions, the three oldest technical training agencies, ANDI, IANTD and TDI. Industry insiders estimate there are as many as 10,000 to 15,000

tation on CCR

X-RAY MAG: 49: 2012 EDITORIAL

FEATURES

Maximum ventilation is progressively reduced as depth ?

Divers tend to retain CO2 as work of breathing ↑

Rebreather divers should be extremely

wary of heavy exertion, or virtually

"any" exertion at extreme depths

TRAVEL NEWS EQUIPMENT BOOKS SCIENCE & ECOLOGY EDUCATION PROFILES

feature

of International

Divers was not

included).

These

numbers

are likely

to grow signifi-

cantly

as PADI

rec-

reational

rebreather

courses pro-

Poseidon reported at RF3 which have been responsible for that they sold more of the majority rebreather training to their recreational Mk-VI date, estimated that collectively they issued 30,000 basic, intermerebreathers in the last four diate and advanced rebreather and half months, than in certifications from 1990-2011. and are currently trending at the prior two and half years about 2,500-3,000 certs a year. (Data from the British Sub-Aqua

tific and recreational divers as Club, PSA International, and Rebreather Association evidenced by the community's sessions chaired by explorer and instructor trainer Martin Robson.

> **Pushing** the envelope again)

liferate. Dives that would be Though logistically difficult the number of or even impossiusers is still small, ble on open-circuit rebreather technology has greatly are routinely done with rebreathers, expanded tech divers' underwater and some explorers like Robson, Richard envelope, and has Harris and others are also been a boon now pushing limits to photographers/ of human physiolvideographers as well as the early ogy. During adopters a Friday

Industry insiders afternoon sesestimate there as many sion. Harris detailed his as 10,000 to 15,000 team's exploactive rebreather ration dives to divers worldwide 207m (680-feet) at the Pearse

(caves) in the South Island of New Zealand, where divers are hitting up against the limits of "respiratory sufficiency" (and arguably surface-based diving).

River Resurgence

However, as David Conlin, Chief of Submerged Resources Center for the National Park Service. explained to the assembly, "The real value of rebreathers is not deep diving at all, but staying longer at 21-30m (70-100 feet). You can work at those depths nearly all day long when the conditions are good." Conlin reported that rebreathers have increased Park Service divers productivity by nearly 40 percent. "We gain nearly one day for every three days

Killing them softly Fock, who himself is

a very accomplished rebreather diver, took the stage on Saturday morning with an important and sobering presentation

on the risks of rebreather diving, titled, Killing Them Softly. One of the problems in the industry is the lack of an accident reporting system that records and details the cause of diver fatalities and near misses, in order to inform and improve diver safety. In many cases, information about specific fatalities is sequestered for fear of litigation. As a result, existing accident data is incomplete, and in many cases, inaccurate.

... there was no difference in fatality rates among manual or electronic units, or specific brands of rebreathers; accidents were roughly proportional to market share

How dangerous is rebreather div-

Are manual units (that depend

on the diver to manually add

oxygen)—like the KISS Classic,

of the installed base of

rebreathers—safer to

dive than their elec-

tronic counterparts?

which represent about 15 percent

ing? What causes fatalities?

With the caveat that they are "best guess numbers", Fock concluded that rebreather diving is probably 5-10 times as risky as open circuit scuba divina, accountina for about 4-5 deaths per 100,000 dives, compared to approximately 0.4 to 0.5 deaths per 100,000 dives for open-circuit scuba. This makes rebreather diving more risky than sky diving at 0.99 per 100,000 jumps, but far less risky than basejumping at 43 deaths per 100,000. He found that there was no differ-

lope (i.e. no-stop diving to 40m or

130ft)?

Rebreather





among scien-



tional to market share. Fock also pointed out that while the data suggests that deeper dives carry areater risks, a large number

of rebreath-

fatalities occur in shallow depths within the recreational envelope.



causes or "triggers" that precipitated accidents, Fock concluded that the source of most problems was the human-machine interface, or so-called "pilot error",

involving assembly and pre-dive preparation, maintenance, training and high risk behaviors like ignoring checklists, carrying insufficient bailout

Creatina a safety culture

Though veteran explorers and educators Jill Heinerth and Terrence Tysell chaired an opendiscussion session on training, enabling Forum

participants to present views on a host checklists in his presentation, Failure of training related topics, the majority of the discussion on improving safety centered around diving culture—what happens after training.

and diving beyond one's limits. "The question," posed Fock, "is whether the risk can best be mitigated by training

freinforced by dive culturel or engineering out potential problems or both."

"The real value of rebreathers is not deep

diving at all, but staying longer at 21-30m (70-100 feet.) You can work at those depths nearly all day long when

culture to support rebreather diving. Expedition leader and educator Richie Kohler made an impassioned, no-nonthe conditions are good." sense case for the

> Is NOT an option: The importance of checklists. During the presentation, Kohler put up a picture of eight close friends and mentors including

Jeff Bozanic (left) in debate with Dr Siman Mitchell during the concluding session

Currently, one of the biagest safety issues surrounding rebreathers is the fact that divers become complacent and don't rigorously adhere to a predive checklist in assembling and preparing their unit for diving as they (presumably) learned in class, and also neglect required post-dive maintenance. (Some experienced rebreather divers don't follow checklists either.) Even

worse, some divers choose to dive knowing that there are problems with their unit such as a faulty sensor or small leaks. Methodically working through

your rebreather's checklist, which typically includes a five-minute pre-breathe (and only diving it if everything checks out), is the best way to insure that the unit is functioning properly and avoid any problems that could jeopardize safety during the dive. The use of checklists is standard in aviation and is increasingly becoming so in medicine, because it

reduces accidents. Presenters saw the problem as an issue of creating a safety

Michael Menduno

Rebreather

X-RAY MAG: 49: 2012

FEATURES

use and efficacy of

Rebreather

his rebreather instructor, who lost their lives as a result of pilot error. "They were not fools," explained Kohler, "but each of them made foolish mistakes and died as a result." Checklists are designed to prevent such mistakes from occurring.

In another session, Heinerth presented her "Five Golden Rules" for rebreather diving which included: 1) be properly trained and current for the dive you are about to conduct; 2) follow your checklist;

3) pre-breathe your unit; 4) make the decision to dive (responsi-

bly); and 5) be prepared to abort the dive safely (with sufficient bailout gas!). Heinerth told a story of being on a dive boat with five rebreather veterans. Durina

her pre-breathe, she detected a small problem with her rebreather

> and told the assembly she would be sitting out the dive, only to be pressured by the others divers to make the dive anyway. "It's only a minor problem," some opined, "You can still fly the unit manually." To her credit, Heinerth didn't back down. How do we, as a community, encourage divers to do checklists and support their adoption within the culture?

"Industry leaders need to become role models," offered Heinerth. "We need to make it cool to do checklists." Heinerth along with industry pioneers Dr Richard Pyle, Database Coordinator for Natural Sciences at Bishop

Jill Heinerth presenting her "Five Golden Rules" for rebreather diving

FEATURES

Museum, Kevin Gurr, CEO of VR Technology, and others are

now spearheading an effort to The question is whether create a set of the risk can best be best practices for mitigated by training rebreather diving dubbed, Blueprint [reinforced by dive For Survival 3.0. culture] or engineering out This refers to the original set of potential problems or both ten safety principles for cave

> diving developed by legendary cave explorer Sheck Exley in his monograph, Basic cave diving: A blueprint for survival. The early tech community created a similar set consensus-standards for open -circuit mix diving, Blueprint for Survival 2.0, which was published in the now "We need to defunct aquaCORPS Journal. Watch this make it cool to space.

do checklists."

Engineering the CCR blues away

In addition to training and creating a culture that reinforces safe diving practices, experts agree that a number of safety issues might be resolved through better engineering. Indeed, this is the basis behind PADI's so-called "Type R" rebreathers that are suitable for recreational divers. For example, a Type R rebreather will turn itself on if the user foraets and jumps in the water, and it won't operate without the scrubber canister correctly in place or if the cylinders are turned off.

What became clear at the Forum, however, is that better engineering solutions are needed for one of the most fundamental aspects of rebreathers: knowing precisely the composition of the

breathing gas in the loop at any point in the dive. Unlike opencircuit, of course, where the fraction of gas is constant and known with certainty, the gas mix in a diver's breathing loop dynamically changes with every breath and aas addition.

Ten to 15 years from now Ten years from now, or 15, we will likely look back at our current technology and regard it as primitive, or what explorer and engineer Dr Bill Stone, CEO of Stone Aerospace, refers to as "test pilot era" technology. "You actually dived those units without knowing exactly what you were breathing? OMG!" It'll be like us looking

> back at early cave divers using J-values (reserve) and empty Clorox bottles for buoyancy, and going, "Really?"

Bruce Partridge, CEO of Shearwater Electronics, summed

up the current state of the art in his presentation on information systems this way, "Divers must interpret the readouts from three roaming O₂ sensors, which are known to be unreliable. They dive with no CO₂ gauge, and they don't have good data on the risks or what is most likely to go wrong."

The trouble with O₂ sensors

Most experts agree that current O₂ sensing systems are the weakest links on a rebreather and also the most critical. If the PO₂ in the loop is too low, the diver will suffer hypoxia and go unconscious and drown; too high and the diver risks hyperoxia, convulsions and drowning.

Explorer and engineer Dr Bill Stone. CEO of Stone Aerospace

Limits

But what most divers might not appreciate are the limitations of current O2 sensing systems on the market, which was made clear in a pair of presentations by biomedical instrumentation engineer Dr Arne Sieber, CEO of Seabear Diving Technology who built his own rebreather, and Nigel Jones, principal at RMB Consulting who works with Stone Aerospace.

Sensors were not designed with diving in mind

Sieber began by explaining that the galvanic O₂ sensors made for the biomedical industry were never designed to be used in diving and are, in fact, being used outside manufacturer's specs. To wit: sensors are meant to be calibrated under the same conditions that they will be used for in measurement, in the same measurement range

and temperature. That's not how it's done in diving.

"Divers do all the wrong things," explained Sieber. "We calibrate

the sensors at 0.2 bar (air) and 1.0 bar (O_2) at ambient pressure and temperature, and then use the sensors at up to 1.6 bar at much





Kevin Gurr, CEO of VR Technology Ltd. went over the challenges with developing CO₂ sensing and how the issue could be solved thanks to recent advances in technology

hotter temperatures." Sieber said that this leads to increased sensor errors as well as a decreased lifespan.

Sensors can fail high or low as a result of the gradual consumption of their reactive material and aging and also fall out of calibration. In addition, they commonly fail from condensation on the sensor. Worse is that "transient failures" from a loose electrical connection, or more commonly condensation, causes the sensor to generate erroneous data and then go back to working correctly when the condition abates. Jones believes that these "transient failures" are insidious and likely the cause or trigger of many unexplained rebreather diver fatalities.

Voting logic

Because of the known unreliability of these sensors, early designers like Walter Stark in the late 60's who invented the "Electrolung" built the first closed-circuit rebreathers with

three O₂ sensors and a voting logic algorithm—the computer averages the readings from the two sensors whose readings are closest and uses that average for its O_2 calculations.

Their idea was that the redundancy of three voting sensors would greatly reduce the risk of sensor failure, and the concept stuck. Today, virtually all rebreathers, except the Poseidon, use this 50-year-old sensing technology. The problem, explained Jones, is that it is simply not as reliable as once thought.

Reducing the benefits

First, Jones showed using probability theory that the voting logic algorithm itself actually reduces the benefits of redundancy. For example, instead of having a system that is "hundreds" of times more reliable (e.g. with pure triple redundancy), a votina logic system can reduce the improvement to single digits.

Questionable assumption

Second, voting logic is based on the assumption that sensors fail independently i.e. the failure of one sensor does not change the likelihood that others will fail, too. Unfortunately, that is **not** the case with the O₂ sensors in a rebreather. The sensors are dependent because they share a common history; they may have come from the same manufacturers lot, they experience similar use, they share a common environment, suffer common abuse and use shared measurement and calibration aas. The lack of independence greatly decreases the reliability. "Having three sensors is barely better than one in some circumstances," emphasized Jones.

Erosion of risk reduction

Third, risk reduction is eroded even further, by the fact that there are more than two outcomes to the system i.e. heads: a correct reading, or



feature

tails: an incorrect reading. The third outcome is the case when the diver doesn't know if the reading is correct or incorrect, which Jones equated to having the coin land on its edge.

Case story

He offered a real-world example reported by Rich Pyle where



Leon Scamahorn, CEO of Inner meters with the stress of a pos-Space Systems manufacturers of the Megalodon and Pathfinders rebreather

Rebreather

Probability theory demonstrated that the voting logic algorithm itself actually reduces the benefits of redundancy.

during a dive, his

PO₂ sensors read

asked the audi-

.4, 1.0 and 1.3 and

ence to make the

call, "What is the

correct PO2?" (The

computer's voting

age the 1.0 and 1.3

reading and call it 1.15).

Unfortunately, the majority of

the audience got it wrong! The

correct answer was 0.4; the sys-

tem had experienced a double

sensor failure. Fortunately, Pyle

got it right. If he had ascended

at that point in the dive think-

ing his PO2 was 1.15, he would

have risked hypoxia and possible

Calculations under duress

An animated discussion ensued

prompted by Leon Scamahorn,

manfacturer of the Megalodon

pointed out that "Meg" users

math determine that the low

of course that the diver was

of voting logic systems].

alerted to the problem in time.

[Scamahorn's arguments, howev-

er, did not address the limitations

I'm sure Pyle, who has thou-

sands of hours on his rebreather,

tipped off to the faulty sensors by

the lack of voltage fluctuations).

But I couldn't help wondering if

I'd have the calm presence of

mind do "millivolt math" at 100

sible alarm and knowing one or

wouldn't have a problem with Scamahorn's procedure (Pyle was

and Pathfinder rebreathers, who

could go the "millivolt screen" on

their handset, which shows actually sensor voltage (a linear func-

tion of $_{P}O_{2}$) and with some simple

sensor was correct. This assumes

CEO of Inner Space Systems and

logic would aver-

more of my sensors were crapping out. Definitely a test pilot-esque notion! Couldn't a computer do this better than me?

Active validation

Both Sieber and Jones urged the industry to develop and adopt "active validation" type systems. such as used in the Poseidon MK-VI, which calibrates and tests the validity of the oxygen sensors (the MK-VI uses two sensors) throughout the dive using onboard diluent and oxvaen. Sieber added that solid-state sensors, which are currently in prototype form, also hold promise for the future.

However, several rebreather builders I spoke to disagreed with Sieber and Jones' assessment and said that they overstated the O₂ sensina problem aiven improvements in sensor manufacturing, testing and voting logic software. As one manufacturer said, "There's more than one way to skin the cat."

Nevertheless, in its consensus recommendations, the Forum strongly endorsed industry initiatives to improve oxygen measurement technologies and advocated consideration for new approaches like "active validation" and alternatives to galvanic fuel cells.

ppCO₂: The dark matter of rebreather diving

Divers face similar sensing problems with respect to pCO₂, which has been dubbed the "dark matter of rebreather diving". High pp CO_2 's (0.03 bar and above) can cause hyperventilation,





confusion, mental impairment, unconsciousness and death, may lower CNS O₂ toxicity thresholds and is believed to be a factor in unexplained rebreather fatalities, hence the moniker "dark matter". Worse, the diver may not be aware of the problem before a full onset of symptoms occurs.

Searching for 25 years

According to Dr John Clarke, Scientific Director of the U.S. Navy Experimental Diving Unit (NEDU), the Navy has been searching for solutions to problem of CO₂ sensing for more than 25 years. The need for CO₂ sensing was also identified in the findings of Rebreather Forum 2.0.

Divers have two information needs: first, to monitor the duration of the scrubber canister.

which varies with workload. depth, and temperature; second, to detect a CO₂ breakthrough as a result of a spent canister, mechanical failure or channelling.

Ignorant divers

Kevin Gurr, who is regarded as one of the gurus on CO₂ sensing, began his session by sharing data from a recent Internet survey of 323 rebreather divers representing 25 different models of rebreathers. The results were surprising. Twentythree percent of the divers did not know the maximum operating depth of their unit, and another 19 percent did not know the manufacturer's stated scrubber duration. Forty-two percent of divers said that they experienced symptoms of hypercapnia for a total of some 297 incidents (some divers

Dr Richard Vann, Duke University and DAN, was a key driving force behind Rebreather Forum 3

had more than one incident), however, 64 percent said that they didn't bailout; 19 percent said they bailed out sometimes. The results suggest better training and a cultural shift are needed!

Gurr next recounted the current methods used to monitor scrubber duration which are: 1) a duration timer based on manufacturer's test data (usually conducted at two depths at 4°C at a specified CO₂ production rate); 2) a timer system based on the diver's oxygen consumption (divers produce about 0.8 liters of CO₂ for every liter of O₂ consumed) which takes account of workload but not depth or temperature; and 3) thermal sensing, also referred to as the "Temp Stik", which measures how the scrubber's thermal reaction front moves through the canister. Gurr explained that the Stik, which is used in the Ambient Pressure, VR technology and rEVO rebreathers, is a reasonable predictor of duration, but is slow to react to fast changing variables like work rate. However, none of these methods are able to detect CO₂ breakthrough!



Forty-two percent of divers said that they experienced symptoms of hypercapnia for a total of some 297 incidents (some divers had more than one incident), however 64% said that they didn't bailout, 19% said they bailed out sometimes.

Steve Lewis, author and technical trainer Phil Short

Jeff Bozanic

Rebreather

Following Gurr, Dr Dan Warkander, from the Navy Experimental Diving Unit (NEDU) who holds a patent on thermal sensing, compared to the days of early scuba when divers didn't have a pressure gauge but instead dived with a J-valve. "Wouldn't it be nice to have a gauge for your scrubber to tell you how much time you had left?" he offered.

Warkander went on to explain how factors such as workload, depth and temperature effect scrubber duration and how difficult it is to predict. For example, hard work can reduce duration by 50 percent, while light work can double duration. He said that scrubber duration can vary by a factor of 5-20 through combined effects of workload, temperature and depth. What's worse, when a scrubber is spent, the threshold between no CO₂ and too



X-RAY MAG: 49:2012

EDITORIAL FEATURES



much, can happen in a matter of minutes.

As far as detecting scrubber breakthrough or a seal failure, VR Technology Sentinel is currently the only production unit with a gaseous infrared CO₂ sensor (The Sentinel uses all four methods mentioned above in its CO₂ monitoring package). Gurr said that we are 80 percent there in fully characterizing a CO₂ absorption system properly.

Holy grail

The last piece is a mouthpiece sensor that can measure end-tidal CO₂, which is regarded as the "Holy Grail" of CO2 monitoring.

Gurr estimated that this is still at least three years away.

The Forum acknowledged the poor of understanding of operational limits with regards to depth and scrubber duration amona trained rebreather divers and recommended that training agencies do more to emphasize these issues, and manufacturers make data more readily available.

Dive-by-Wire?

The diving press and interested Forum participants were treated to a preview of Poseidon and Stone's latest lovechild. the Poseidon TECH rebreather, which is scheduled to ship this November and features the latest in diving automation. "Our goal," explained Poseidon **CEO Peter Swartling** at the press briefing, "is to increase the level of automation by using smart systems that monitor every breath, make

adjustments accordingly and interact with the user only when they need to know what's aoina on."

In addition to the many automated features in Poseidon's Mk-VI recreational rebreather such as a wet switch, an autochecklist that verifies that cylinders have the correct gases and their values are open, and autooxygen sensor calibration and validation, the new TECH offers a "Dive-by-Wire" handset that is truly breaking new ground.

The device, which is smaller than an iPhone, provides system information to the user and enables them to control the rebreather to the extent of doing a loop flush or adding oxygen at the touch of a virtual button. The computer of course would warn and or prevent the diver from taking an action, like adding O_2 if it was ill advised.

The new Poseidon TECH offers a "Diveby-Wire" handset



Dr Michael Gernhardt, NASA astronaut, manager of the Environmental Physiology Laboratory and principal investigator of the Prebreathe Reduction Program at the Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center, compared decompression issues in space with those in diving

When a scrubber is spent, the threshold between no CO2 and too much. can happen in a matter of minutes.

> the future of dive automation, Granted, 15 percent or so of rebreather divers prefer a strictly manual unit (sans solenoid) and other groups such as the DIR community don't even trust dive computers, well not the kind that you strap to your arm anyway. Ironically, I'm sure that most of these people have no trouble trusting their ABS brakes in their cars (versus feathering the brakes on their own). In fact, their vehicles depend on computer automation, as do the commercial

This level of auto-

beegeebees to many

spoke with at the bar

following Poseidon's

I couldn't help won-

dering if this is indeed

press conference, but

mation gave hee-

of the tech divers I

Can we trust automation?

aircraft that flew them to RF3.

Stone, whose company builds autonomous vehicles for space exploration, addressed the issue head on in his talk. Hazard Analysis and Human Factors, posing the guestion, "Can we trust automation?" As an example. he recounted the development of the autonomous car that can

navigate city streets sans driver and showed video of prototypes in action. Stone said that within five years, you'll be able to buy a

15% or so of

rebreather divers

prefer a strictly

manual unit

(sans solenoid)

car that will drive you home if you had a bit too much to drink, and it will do it as safe or safer than a human driver.

Could rebreathers be far behind?

One of the major problems in rebreather (read car, train, plane, spacecraft, etc.) safety is humans' ability, or rather inability, to manage and operate complex machines without incident. Stone's solution, along with oth-

ers such as Gurr's soon-to-be released Hollis Explorer, is to simplify the human machine interface by reducing the ways that

> people interact with these systems, letting the computer do more of the work. "We have to move out of the test pilot era to a new paradigm," he said.

Rebreather

Given that Stone's vision of more than 25 years ago helped

drive the creation of a consumer rebreather market (he could arguably be considered the godfather of modern rebreathers) his ideas should not liahtly be dismissed.





At the closing session of the

Mitchell, who heads the depart-

ment of anaesthesiology at the

crafted a series of community-

consensus statements from the

small task!), audience members

were encouraged to speak out

and share their views. Andrew

Fock walked up to the mic and

put the following question to the

ity rates are five to ten times that of open-circuit scuba, should we

morally offer this technology to

the recreational diving commu-

nity, before putting our house in

wanted to tackle the question,

stand and changed the topic.

Eventually, Mark Caney, PADI's

Technologies, worked his way to the microohone and addressed

Vice President of Rebreather

his comments to Fock.

There was silence as if no one

then another participant took the

community, "Given that the fatal-

assembled Forum participants (no

forum, just before Dr Simon

University of Auckland, New Zealand, brilliantly facilitated and

Gavin Anthony, a recognised expert in military and com-mercial divina equipment and operations and QinetiQ's technical lead for independent diving equipment testing and incident investigation. He provided highly-valued constructive feedback and suggestions to the consensus statements

How to prevent drowning

Though it's not the trigger, the primary cause of death in most rebreather fatalities is drowning. Some of these fatalities might have been prevented by use of a retainer strap to hold in the diver's mouthpiece. Full-face masks and

retainer straps have long been the standard in military diving and they were also a key recommendation from Rebreather Forum 2 (1996). While full masks introduce other problems for our diving applications and are not very suitable to sport diving, retaining straps arguably have the potential of saving lives. Rebreather instructor Paul Haynes who is former military diver and business development director and trainer for DIVEX Ltd., made a strong case for retainer straps at the Forum, which recommended that the efficacy of using straps be taken up as a research question. "We might all consider experimenting on ourselves.'

Special thanks Rosemary E. Lunn and the Rebreather Forum 3 team!

"Yes we should," he said. "Within certain parameters."

Writer and technologist Michael Menduno published and edited aqua-Corps: The Journal for Technical Diving (1990-1996), which helped usher tech

Rebreather

"Given that the fatality rates are 5-10 times that of open circuit scuba, should we morally offer this technology to the recreational diving community, before putting our house in order?"

"Yes we should! Within certain parameters."

Additional resources

RF3 included several discussions of how rebreather incident reporting and analysis could be improved resulting in several Forum recommendations.

DAN reporting system

In addition, DAN announced its new non-fatality online diving incident reporting system for rebreathers, which was endorsed by the Forum. See: https://DAN.org/ IncidentReport/. The hope is that the DAN system will provide valuable information for the community.

Rebreather Forum 2 Conference precedings:

http://archive.rubiconfoundation.org/7555

Rebreather Forum 3 **Consensus Statements:**

http://rubicon-foundation. org/News/rf3-consensus/

diving into the mainstream of sports diving, and coined the term "technical diving". He also organized the first Tek, EuroTek and AsiaTek conferences, and Rebreather Forums 1.0 and 2.0. Menduno, who is based in Berkeley, California, USA, remains an avid diver.





order?"